UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,311	01/18/2006	Barbara Hildegard Pause		7382
Barbara H Paus	7590 03/28/200 e	EXAMINER		
7161 Christoph		STEELE, JENNIFER A		
Longmont, CO 80503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,311	PAUSE, BARBARA HILDEGARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENNIFER STEELE	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period by the Office later than three months after the mail of the part of the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the part of the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period for the period for the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail of the period for th	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complete to the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the specification is objected to by the Evanian complete the complete	rawn from consideration. //or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be specified to by the specific to be specified to by the specific to be specified to be spe	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicat Iiority documents have been receive Beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claim 1, 3-9 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 102(e) as being anticipated by Worley (US 2003/0054141). Worley teaches a coated article having reverse enhanced thermal properties (ABST). Worley teaches the article can be a woven fabric [0016]. Worley teaches continuous coatings containing phase change material are applied to fabrics [0003]. Worley teaches the phase change material can be dispersed in a polymeric coating [0017]. Worley teaches phase change materials may be non-encapsulated and dispersed in the polymer in solid form such as bulk form, powder, pellets, granules, flakes [0044]. These forms of phase change materials are equated with Applicant's "finely divided phase change material".

As to claim 3 and 4, Worley teaches embodiments that have the coating covering one surface and Worley teaches alternatively or in conjunction, the coating can cover one or more surfaces of the substrate and that includes a bottom and a side surface in addition to the top surface [0017].

With regards to claim 5, Worley teaches the coating may be made with the phase change material uniformly dispersed within the coating or depending on the particular characteristics the phase change material can be varied within one or more portions of the coating. As Worley is teaching a coated substrate that can be coated on all surfaces and some of the surfaces may not contain the phase change materials, Worley anticipates the membrane material that has a coating on one side that does not contain a phase change material.

As to claim 6, Worley teaches the hydrocarbon compounds of n-Heneicosane, n-Eicosane, n-Nonadecane, n-Octadecane and N-Heptadecane [0037] as disclosed in Applicant's specification as being crystalline alkyl hydrocarbons.

Regarding claim 7, Worley teaches a phase change material can be a hydrated salt [0035].

As to claim 8, Worley teaches the percentage of phase change material in the coating can be up to 25%, 50%, 90% and 100%[0032].

With respect to claim 9, Worley teaches phase change materials with melting points of 22°C to 40°C [0036].

Regarding claim 11, Worley teaches fire retardants can be added to the phase change coating [0050].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1794

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Worley (US 2003/0054141). Regarding claim 2, Worley does not disclose that the polymeric coating is elastomeric. Worley teaches the polymeric coating material can be a thermoplastic polymer or mixture of thermoplastic polymer [0046] that include polyamides, polyurethanes, polyesters, polyolefins, polystyrenes, polyfluorocarbons that are known in the art to be elastomeric [0048]. As to claim 12, Worley does not disclose that the coating or fabric is translucent. As Worley teaches the same structure and materials as the current application, it is presumed that the invention of Worley can have the property of translucency as claimed. When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention the examiner has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as

Art Unit: 1794

in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-

2112.02

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Worley (US 2003/0054141) in view of Salyer (US 4,797,160) and Zuckerman et al (US 6,660,667). Worley differs from the current application and does not teach the latent heat storage capacity of the phase change article. Salyer teaches phase change materials for use in building materials (ABST). Salyer teaches phase change materials of microcystalline waxes such as hexadecane (col. 3, lines 5-10). Salyer teaches phase change materials with a heat storage capacity of 30-50 cal/gm. Zuckerman teaches a coating composition for fabrics of wetted microspheres containing phase change

Art Unit: 1794

materials that include paraffinic hydrocarbons (ABST). Zuckerman teaches the coating can contain 54% phase change microspheres (col. 9, lines 33-45). Zuckerman teaches the coating has a weight per unit area of 270 gsm (col. 10, lines 33). Zuckerman does not disclose the heat storage capacity. However if both Zuckerman and Salyer employ the same phase change materials and composition as the current Application, the heat storage capacity in the units of kJ/m² can be calculated by the heat capacity of 50 cal/gm multiplied by the density of 270 gsm to obtain a heat storage capacity of 13,770 cal/ m². Converting calories to kJoules results in a heat storage capacity of 57 kJ/m² and in the range of up to 150 kJ/m² as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER STEELE whose telephone number is (571)272-7115. The examiner can normally be reached on Office Hours Mon-Fri 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794 /Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

3/21/2008